

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/702,470	10/31/2000	Paul J. Dow	478SC	1093	
7.	590 04/11/2003				
Reising Ethington Barnes Kisselle Learman & McCulloch PC PO Box 4390			EXAMINER		
			CHIESA, RICHARD L		
Troy, MI 48099-4390			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1724		
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		pplicant(s)				
	09/702,470	!	DOW, PAUL J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Richard L. Chiesa		1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, howe  pply within the statutory minion  d will apply and will expire Soute. cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim mum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ily. communication.			
1) $\boxtimes$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{20}$	0 February 2003 .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ <sup>-</sup>	This action is non-fi	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application		otion					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawii iioiii consider	ation,					
· <u></u>	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are allowed.     Claim(s) 14.34 is/are rejected.     Claim(s) 14.34 is/are rejected.     Claim(s) 15.35 is/are rejected.     Claim(s) 15.35 is/are allowed.     Claim(s) 15.35 is/are rejected.     Claim(s) 15.35 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 14-24 is/are objected to.	Claim(s) 14-24 is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	t/or election require	ment					
Application Papers	nor clocken require			•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a)	1.			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
<del></del>	<u></u>						
•							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the p         application from the International     </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a l</li> </ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		al Stage			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome		-		al application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language	provisional applicat	ion has been re	ceived.				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s		Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper N Patent Application (F				
······································				_			

Art Unit: 1724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on February 20, 2003 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 14-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. More specifically, claim 14 is now confusing due to the presence of the ambiguous expression "fuel an air mixing" in the second line of the claim. Perhaps, this phrase should be changed to --fuel and air mixing--.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claim 23 is again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pattullo for the reasons explained in paragraph 6 on pages 4 and 5 of the last Office action (Paper No. 7) dated November 20, 2002.

Application/Control Number: 09/702,470

Art Unit: 1724

#### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on February 20, 2003 have been carefully reviewed but are deemed to be unpersuasive for the reasons explained below.

In the first place, it would appear to be irrelevant that Pattullo may employ an interference fit as asserted by applicant. The fact remains that Pattullo's valve head 62 is slidably and resiliently received in slot 72 of polymeric valve shaft 56 (note col. 9, lines 32-34). Pattullo's assembly and interference fit apparently operates virtually identically to applicant's apparatus and will prevent inadvertent separation of the valve head 62 and shaft 56 just as described and shown respectively by applicant on page 8, line 16 to page 9, line 8 of the specification and Figures 14 and 15 of the drawings.

Secondly, despite applicant's comments to the contrary, Figures 15-30 are indeed explicitly described by Pattullo as being drawn to engineering scale as evidenced by col. 9, lines 4-22.

Finally, upon comparison there appears to be no doubt that the length of slot 72 in Pattullo's Figure 23 exceeds the diameter of valve head 62 in Pattullo's Figure 18.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-13 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 14-22 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/702,470

Art Unit: 1724

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this

Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

Page 4

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Richard L. Chiesa whose telephone number is (703) 308-3791.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1700 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Facsimile correspondence to Art Unit 1724 must be transmitted through (703) 305-7718.

This number is for Art Unit 1724 correspondence only.

Richard L. Chiesa March 28, 2003

Richard L. Chiesa

RICHARD L. CHIESA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1724

March 28, 2003